DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP BUROPEAN COMMAND A FO 407

29 October 1947

UNITED STATES)

V. Case No. 12-1104-1

Wilhelm KANSCHAT

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. TRIAL DATA: The accused was tried at Lachau, Germany, during the period 25-28 August 1947, before a General Military Government Court.

II. CEARGE AND PARTICULARS:

CHARGE: Violation of the laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Wilhelm KaNSC: AT, a German mational, did, at or near WCLFENBUTTEL, Germany, on or about 28 September 1944, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the killing of a member of the United States Army, believed to he Sgt. Shappard KERMAN, who was then and there a surrendered and unarmed prisoner of war in the custody of the then German Reich.

PARACHET OF EVIDENCE: On 28 September 1944 an American flyor parachuted from his disabled plane over Wolfenbucttel, Germany. His parachute caught on the roof of a house and he was pulled through a window into a room on the second floor. Otto Weinreich, Gerd Book and accused KANSCHAT were present in the room together with several other people. The accused ordered the soldiers sat of the room and then ordered Book to shoot the flyer. Book got a pistol from Weinreich and shot the flyer to death. Book and Weinreich were tried for their participation in this killing in the case of United States v. Book, et al., Case No. 12-1104. Book was sentenced to death by hanging and Weinreich to life imprisonment. Both sentences were approved. The sentence of Book to death by hanging was later commuted to imprisonment for life.

IV. EVIDENCE AND FEGUMMENDATIONS:

Wilhelm KANSCHAT

Nationality:

German

57

Aget

Civilian Status:

Merchant

Party Status:

Nazi Farty since 1933

Military Status:

Captain in Wehrmacht Roserve

Flea:

NG

Find ings:

15

Sentence:

Doath by hanging

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused was a reserve captain in the Wehrmacht (R 10, 26, 27, 51, 52, 157, 164) serving as a welfare officer at the hospital of injured soldiers in Wolfenbuettel, Germany (R 167), a city of 20,000 inhabitants (R 157). Folitical matters were included among the subjects discussed by the accused in formal meetings of extients in the hospital (R 171). On 28 September 1044, an American flyer para chuted from his plane (R 11, 22, 26, 29, 40, 41, 48, 51; P-Ex 2 p. 1). His parachute caught on the roof of a house at 28 Krummo Street in Wolfenbuettel, Germany (R 12, 27, 41, 49). He was pulled through a window into a room in the second story of the house (R 22, 27, 41, 49; F-Ex 2 p. 1). Beck, a sergeant in the German Army (R 10), Weinreich, a political leader, i.e., a labor supervisor (R 13, 27, 32), the accused ami several other people were in the room with the flyer (K 13, 27, 31, 33, 34, 36, 42, 46, 51, 80, 65; P-Exe 3 p. 2, 4 p. 1). The flyor was appearently uninjured (R 49, 50). Gord Book, Weinreich and Bolm testified that the accused ordered all of the soldiers to leave the room. However. Book and Weinreich qualified the testimony by stating that they assumed it was the accused because he was the only officer in the room and only an officer could have given the order. On the other hand both Beek and Weinreich nositively so stated in their extrajudicial sworn statement concorning this case in 1945 (R 14, 18, 36, 52, 61, 80, 65, 91; F-Exa 3 p. 2, 4 p. 2). Bolm testified that he thon loft the room (R 52, 53). Gard Book testified that the accused made him stay in the room (R 15, 19, 80, 100; F-Ex 3 p. 2). Gord Book and Weinroich testified that the accused then ordered Book to short the flyer. Beck and Weinreich were inclined to be hesitant to testify positively that the accused give the

order and reasoned that he did because he was the only officer, if not the only other person, in the room. However, they were positive as to the matter in their extrajudicial swarn statements given prior to their own trial (R 15, 19, 21, 37, 58, 59-61, 62, 63, 64, 80, 65, 93, 94, 100, 105; F-Exs 3 pp. 2, 3, 4 pp. 4, 5). Defense witness Remmert testified that during the afternoon after the killing it was talked in Wolfenbusttel that Beck had killed the flyer on orders of the accused (R 110, 112). And Erika Book testified that her husband, Gerd Book, seen after the killing told her that he had snot the flyor on orders of the assumed (E 140, 143). Book obtained a pistol from Weinreich possibly as a result of requesting it and shot the flyor to death (R 16, 27, 38, 62, 80, 65; 7-Exs 3 op. 2, S. 5 4 p. 3). The body of the flyer was then carried to the courtyard near the house (R 22, 43, 44; 1-Ex 2 p. 5). The accused did not leave the building until after the shooting of the flyer (R 80, 30; I-Ex 3 p. 2). Gerd Book testified that, shortly before this trial, the accused attempted to bribe him to not testify that he, the accused, gave the order to shoot (n 83).

The accused testified that prior to the Evidence for Defense: * wating Book had developed a dislike for him resulting from a misunderstanding about promotions (R 167, 168). The accused testified that he could not give an order to a suldior to kill anyone (A 175). The growd was threatening the flyer and because thereof he went to the place where the flyer was in order to save him (R 178,175). The accused further textified that he turned the flyer over to the police and the political leader in the room where the flyer was captured (k 179). The accused th ught the flyer was murb. In view of that fact and the fact that the growd outside the house was angry, he did not take the flyer with him (R 181). He admitted that he did order the soldiers to leave the room, but he did that because the air raid alarm was still on and he had to see that they got back to the air raid sholter (R 186). The flyer was alive when he left the room (R 187). He testified that he did not hear that the flyer had been shot to death until April 1945. However he later qualified the statement and admitted he heard runners or it

before that time (R 198). He did not hear a shot fired (B 180), but he did see the body of the dead flyer lying in the back yard about 10 minutes after he left the room (R 182). He was informed that the flyer had died from a back injury (R 183).

Remment testified that when she first saw Gord Beck after the killing he had blood on his hands and he said that he had killed an american flyer and that at first he did not say anything about having received an order from anyone (R 109). Brecht stated in her extrajudicial sworn statement that immediately after the killing Beck did not say anything about having received an order (R 114; D-Ex 2A). Mrs. Hartenstein testified that she talked with the accused during the air raid and that he said that the flyer was alive when he left him and wanted to know how the flyer had been killed (R 115, 116, 122). This was correborated by Ursula Martenstein (R 129; D-Ex 3A).

Witness Oberman: testified that at the time of the incident he was a sergeant in the Wehrmacht and a patient in the local hospital (R 145); that he was in the room where the flyer was alleged to have been later shot; that more than 15 people were there (R 151, 152); that the accused came into the room shortly after he arrived; that a man in the uniform of a political leader told him and other soldiers to leave the room; that the accused said smoothing but did not know, or possibly remember, what it was; that he did not know whether the accused left the room; and that when he left the room he did not see the accused therein (R 152, 154, 155).

The accused further testified that he could not give orders to political leaders, but to soldiers only (R 174, 175). He went to the scene of the incident, taking some soldiers with him, to protect the flyor from the crowd. After leaving the room where the flyer was and after leaving him with the political leader, he returned to the hespital. He heard there something had happened to the flyer. Theretoon, he returned to the house and saw the flyer lying in the yard. Someone told him the flyer was dead. He only remained a moment, because the air raid was still on, and returned to the hespital (R 178, 179, 181, 182).

Sufficiency of Evidence: It is established by the admissions of the accused and other evidence that he was present in the room order to the accused and other evidence that he was present in the room ordered to the accused ordered the room cleared except for Neck and Teinreich who were tried in the former case. It is not measurer to support the findings and sentence with proof beyond a measurable doubt that he participated or compelled the shooting, inexample as it is established that he participated throughout the incident and was a major moving force in the illegal shooting of the flyer.

The findings of pullty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Patitions: A Petition for Review was filed by defense counsel, Ocorge A. LeDonough, 17 September 1947 and attached thereto a Petition for Review by defense coursel, Dr. Johann Weesel, 6 September 1947. Petitions for Glemency were filed by Guenter Rode, 5 September 1947; Franz Unstaatter, 25 November 1947.

Recommendations: That the findings and sentence be avereved.

V. QUESTIONS OF LAW:

Jurisdiction: It is clear that the Court had jurisdiction of the norsen of the accused and of the subject matter.

Lotions: At the close of the prosecution's case the defense cade a motion for a finding of not guilty as to the accused for the reason that the prosecution had failed to prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. This motion was denied the Ocurt's action on this motion was prover. It is never experfer a part eximple tribunal to overrule a motion for a finding of not guilty made at the close of the case for the prosecution if it believes there is sufficient evidence to support the charge and that the accused should be required to answer it (Section 5-327.2, Title 5 "Legal and Peral Administration", of "Hilitary Government Regulations", published by Headquarters, U.S. Forces, Auropean Theater, 30 Hovember 1745 and Section 501, page 409, "Linual for Prial of Tar Grimes and helated Gasse", 15 duly 1946). A similar practice as followed in Gourts Partials (Paragraph 71,d., "Yanual for Courts-Partial, U.S. Army", 20 April 1943).

Commination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or omission which resulted in injustice to the accused.

VI. COMCLUSIONS:

- I. It is recommended that the findings and the sentence be approved.
- Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it meet with approval.

Flower I LINDREDG JACOB Post Trial Tranch

Paving examined the record of trial, I concur, this _____ day of 1940.

C. E. STRAIGHT Lieutenant Colonel, JACD Doputy Judge Advocate for "ar Crimes